



7. Accordingly, SAP requests permission to submit a reply memorandum in further support of its summary judgment motion that is not more than thirty-five (35) pages in length.

8. SAP has conferred with the other parties regarding this motion. Plaintiff Hodel-Natco and the LSI Defendants do not object to SAP's motion to exceed the page limitation.

WHEREFORE, the SAP Defendants request that this Court enter an order in the form attached hereto, granting permission to exceed the page limit for dispositive motion memoranda.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles W. Zepp

Leo M. Spellacy, Jr. (0067304)

Charles W. Zepp (0068129)

PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR LLP

925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 1700

Cleveland, OH 44115-1483

(216) 443-9000 / Fax (216) 443-9011

czepp@porterwright.com

/s/ Gregory J. Star

Michael J. Miller (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Gregory J. Star (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

One Logan Square, Suite 2000

Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996

Telephone: (215) 988-2700

Facsimile: (215) 988-2757

*Attorneys for SAP America, Inc. and SAP AG*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 24th day of October 2012, a copy of the foregoing Motion to Exceed was filed electronically. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ Macavan A. Baird  
Macavan A. Baird (admitted *pro hac vice*)